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## Lecture 2. Multivariate methods

## Multivariate analysis : Definitions

## MultiVariate Analysis :

- Set of statistical analysis methods that simultaneously analyze multiple measurements (variables) on the object studied
- Variables can be dependent or correlated in various ways


## Classification / regression :

- Classification : discriminant analysis to separate classes of events, given already known results on a training sample
- Regression : analysis which provides an output variable taken into account the correlations of the input variables


## Statistical learning :

- Supervised learning : the multivariate method is trained over a sample were the result is known (e.g. Monte-Carlo simulation of signal and background)
- Unsupervised learning : no prior knowledge is required. The algorithm will cluster events in an optimal way


## Event classification

- Focus here on supervised learning for classification.
- Use case in particle physics : signal/background discrimination
- Assume we have two populations (signal and background) and two variables

- How to decorrelate, what decision boundary (on X1 and X2) to choose, to decide if an event is signal or background?


## Event classification

- Possible solutions : rectangular cuts, Fisher, non-linear contour



## Regression

- Assume we have one set of measurements.
- How to approximate the law underlying such measurement?
- If the value of the function in each point is known, this is an example of supervised regression.
- If $F(X)$ is not known this is an example of unsupervised regression



## Plenty of multivariate methods...

## Example of MVA methods :

- Rectangular cut optimization
- Fisher
- Likelihood
- Neural network
- Decision tree
- Support Vector Machine
- ...

Characteristics :

- Level of complexity and transparency
- Performance in term of background rejection
- Way of dealing with non-linear correlations
- Speed of training
- Robustness while increasing the number of input variables
- Robustness against overtraining


## Rectangular cuts

- Simplest multivariate method, very intuitive
- All HEP analyses are using rectangular cuts, not always completely optimized

Rectangular cuts optimization :

- Grid search, Monte-Carlo sampling
- Genetic algorithm
- Simulated annealing


## Characteristics :

- Difficult to discriminate signal from background if too much correlations
- Optimization difficult to handle with high number of variables


Define the signal region :
a1 $<\mathrm{x} 1<\mathrm{a} 2$,
b1 $<$ x2 $<$ b2

## Cut optimization

## How to find the best set of cuts for a given criterion ?

## Grid search

- Try N points (usually very large) of the phase-space equally spaced in each dimensions
=> Impossible with high number of variables (too much CPU time)


## Monte-Carlo sampling



- Try N points randomly chosen in the phase space => Usually performs better, but still non optimal

Both are good global minimum finder but have poor accuracy

## Examples of criterion :

- Maximize the signal efficiency for a given background rejection
- Maximize the significance


## Curse of dimensionality

## Grid search and Monte-Carlo sampling suffer from the curse of dimensionality :

- For one variables, trying 100 working points is easy
- For two variables, 100 working points will lead to not well covered phase-space because each points have more distance between them
- 100x100 points should be used
- Increasing number of variables will lead this algorithm to be impossible in practice



## Optimization methods

## Quadratic interpolation

- Compute the function (say the significance) in 3 points. Interpolate with a quadratic function and go to the minimum. Repeat the operation.
=> Problem if no minimum but a maximum is found (work around exist)


## Gradient descent

- At each point, go in the gradient direction. This should lead to a minimum.
$=>$ This method is not the fastest since the gradient direction at each step is not always the direction of the minimum.

Both methods are good to find local minima

- MINUIT package uses a combination : gradient-driven search, using variable metric, can use quadratic Newton-type solution


Parameter A


Parameter A

- Other methods exist : genetic algorithms, simulated annealing


## Neural network

- Most commonly used : the multi-layer perceptron
- Composed of neurons taking as input a linear combination of the previous neuron outputs
- Activation function (usually tanh) transforms the linear combination
- Weights for each neurons are found during the training phase by minimizing the error on the neural network output

- Neural networks are universal approximators : takes advantage of correlations
- Quite stable against overtraining and against increasing number of variables


## Neural network : structure

Hidden layer
Input variables

Multi-layer perceptron : most popular neural network

- Here : only one hidden layer



## Neural network : structure

Given input values for the variables, how to compute the output?

- Start from a set of input variables fed to the input layer
- For each neuron in the hidden layer :
- Compute a weighted sum of the input variables (linear combination) fed as input to the hidden neuron

> Input

- Transform the input with an activation function : usually tanh or sigmoid

$$
x \rightarrow \begin{cases}x & \text { Linear } \\ \frac{1}{1+e^{-k x}} & \text { Sigmoid } \\ \frac{e^{x}-e^{-x}}{e^{x}+e^{-x}} & \text { Tanh } \\ e^{-x^{2} / 2} & \text { Radial }\end{cases}
$$

- If there is more hidden layers, repeat the operation for each neuron of the new hidden layer, taken as input the output of the previous layer
- The output layer performs a weighted sum of the previous hidden layer output

$$
y_{\mathrm{ANN}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{h}}} y_{j}^{(2)} w_{j 1}^{(2)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{h}}} \tanh \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{var}}} x_{i} w_{i j}^{(1)}\right) \cdot w_{j 1}^{(2)}
$$

## Neural network : training

How to compute the weights ?

- By minimization of the error, defined as : : $\sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2}\left(y_{\mathrm{ANN}, a}-\hat{y}_{a}\right)^{2}$

Where yANN is the output and $\hat{y}$ is the desired response : -1 for background, +1 for signal.
Remember that we have : $y_{\mathrm{ANN}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{h}}} y_{j}^{(2)} w_{j 1}^{(2)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{h}}} \tanh \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{var}}} x_{i} w_{i j}^{(1)}\right) \cdot w_{j 1}^{(2)}$
We will minimize the error using the gradient descent method : this is called the back-propagation of errors :

$$
\mathbf{w}^{(\rho+1)}=\mathbf{w}^{(\rho)}-\eta \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} E
$$

Weights connected to the output layer are updated by :

$$
\Delta w_{j 1}^{(2)}=-\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\partial E_{a}}{\partial w_{j 1}^{(2)}}=-\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(y_{\mathrm{ANN}, a}-\hat{y}_{a}\right) y_{j, a}^{(2)}
$$

And weights connected to the hidden layer are therefore updated with :

$$
\Delta w_{i j}^{(1)}=-\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\partial E_{a}}{\partial w_{i j}^{(1)}}=-\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(y_{\mathrm{ANN}, a}-\hat{y}_{a}\right) y_{j, a}^{(2)}\left(1-y_{j, a}^{(2)}\right) w_{j 1}^{(2)} x_{i, a}
$$

## Neural network : input

Input variables :

- Can be correlated (NN uses correlations)
- To improve the NN performance, should avoid unuseful variables (too much correlated, too low discrimination power)
- They can be transformed to improve their discrimination power before the training


Input variable: X2


## Neural network : neurons






## Neural network : neurons




## Neural network : output

- The neural network output can be real or integer
- For most of the HEP applications it is more interesting to have a a real-valued variable
- If the training is successful, background should peak at -1 (or 0 ) and signal at +1
- Shape depends a lot on the NN parameters (layers, epochs...)
- Discrimination power achieved depend a lot on the problems.

TMVA response for classifier: MLP


## Neural network : error

- Training error : : $\sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2}\left(y_{\mathrm{ANN}, a}-\hat{y}_{a}\right)^{2}$
- One can compare, at each iteration (epoch), what is the NN error for the training and the test sample.
- Errors decrease with epochs in both training and test samples.
- Usually it stabilizes
- But with more epochs, it can happen that the test sample will have an error


## MLP Convergence Test

 which will increase again
=> Overtraining :

- The neural network was trained to recognize even the statistical fluctuations of the training sample and is therefore not suitable for any test sample


## Neural network : overtraining

- Simple check : NN output for the training and test sample.
- Both samples should have the same shape, with the statistical uncertainties


## Not overtrained

TMVA overtraining check for classifier: MLP


Overtrained

> TMVA overtraining check for classifier: BDT


## Neural network : performance

Usual figure of merit to check the performance :

- Scan the performance varying the cut on the network output
- Plot the signal efficiency versus background efficiency (or background rejection). Each cut on the NN output is one point on the figure.
- The NN performs (almost all the time) better than the rectangular cut




## Neural network : examples in HEP

## Photon identification at D0 and applications



Goal : discriminate photons against neutral mesons in jets Neural network input variables :

- Shape of the calorimeter energy deposit
- Track variables in an isolation cone around the photon



## Decision tree

- A decision tree is a binary tree : a sequence of cuts paving the phase-space of the input variables
- Repeated yes/no decisions on each variables are taken for an event until a stop criterion is fulfilled
- Trained to maximize the purity of signal nodes (or the impurity of background nodes)

- Decision trees are extremely sensitive to the training samples, therefore to overtraining
- To stabilize their performance, one uses different techniques:
- Boosting
- Bagging
- Random forests


## Decision tree : structure

- Similar to rectangular cuts, but each cut depends on the previous one
- Classifies from a set of attributes. Each node splits the data according to one attribute



## Decision tree : training

- Training a decision tree : process that defines the splitting criteria for each node.
- Start with the root node, the split in two subsets of training events. Go through the same algorithm for the next splitting operation
- Repeat until the whole tree is built
- Splitting criterion found maximizing the signal/background separation.
- Different criteria available. Usually one uses the

Gini Index: p.(1-p) where $p$ is the signal purity

- Note that it is symmetric between signal and background
- Selects the variable and cut value that optimises the increase in the separation index between the parent node and the sum of the indices of the two daughter nodes, weighted by their relative fraction of events.


Criterion $=$ Gini $_{\text {father }}-$ Gini $_{\text {left }}$ son - Gini $_{\text {right }}$ son

## Decision tree : overtraining

## Advantages :

- Decision trees are independent of monotonous variable transformations
- Weak variables are ignored and do not deteriorate performance
- But Decision trees are extremely sensitive to the training samples, therefore to overtraining
- Slightly different training samples can lead to radically different DT
- To stabilize Decision Tree performance, one can use different techniques.
- Boosting
- Bagging
- Random forests
- Pruning


## Decision tree : boosting

## Boosting :

- Sequentially apply the DT algorithm to reweighted (boosted) versions of the training data
- Take a weighted majority vote of the sequence of DT algorithms produced.
- Boosting allows also to increase the performance.
- Works very well on non-optimal decision tree (small number of nodes...)

Most famous implementation in AdaBoost (adaptive boost) :

- Events misclassified during the training of a decision tree are given a higher event weight
- Events are reweighted depending on the error of the previous tree
- The output of the BDT is : where $\mathrm{hi}=+1$ or -1 .

$$
y_{\text {Boost }}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{N_{\text {collection }}}
$$



FIG. 2: Schematic of a boosting procedure.

$$
\begin{gathered}
:=\frac{\text { misclassified events }}{\text { all events }} \\
\alpha=\frac{1-\mathrm{err}}{\mathrm{err}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$N_{\text {collection }}$
$\sum_{i} \ln \left(\alpha_{i}\right) \cdot h_{i}(\mathbf{x})$
29
error on the

## AdaBoost : event weight

 mth tree$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { err }_{m}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} I\left(y_{i} \neq T_{m}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}} \\
& \alpha_{m}=\beta \times \ln \left(\left(1-e r r_{m}\right) / e r r_{m}\right) \quad \text { weight of the ith event : } \\
& \text { is if } \mathrm{t} \\
& \text { miscla }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Start here: } & \text { misclassitiea events get } \\
\text { equal event weights } & \text { larger weights }
\end{array}
$$



## BDT : example

The example: (somewhat artificial...but nice for demonstration) :

- Data file with three "bumps"
- Weak classifier (i.e. one single simple "cut" $\leftrightarrow$ decision tree stumps )





Two reasonable cuts: a) $\operatorname{VarO}>0.5 \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\text {signal }}=66 \% \varepsilon_{\mathrm{bkg}} \approx 0 \%$ misclassified events in total $16.5 \%$ or
b) $\operatorname{VarO}<-0.5 \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\text {signal }}=33 \% \varepsilon_{\mathrm{bkg}} \approx 0 \%$ misclassified events in total $33 \%$
the training of a single decision tree stump will find "cut a)"

## BDT : example

The first "tree", choosing cut a) will give an error fraction: err $=0.165$
$\Rightarrow$ before building the next "tree": weight wrong classified training events by (1-err/err) ) $\approx 5$
$\Rightarrow$ the next "tree" sees essentially the following data sample:


The combined classifier: Tree1 + Tree2 the (weighted) average of the response to a test event from both trees is able to separate signal from background as good as one would expect from the most powerful classifier



## Decision tree : output

- A single decision tree can be trained to gives always an integer response, : signal (+1) / background (-1)



## Boosted decision trees give a Real-valued output:

- The output is a linear combination of +1 and -1 , because of the weights over the different training decision trees during boosting
- Output is quasi-continuous. The number of classes depends on the number of trees used in the boosting process




## Decision tree : bagging, random forests, pruning

- One can also use different techniques such as bagging and random forest
- Improves the stability against fluctuations, not much the performance
- Both of them makes use of the idea of randomizing trees.


## Bagging:

- Resampling technique. Training is repeated on "bootstrap" samples (i.e resample training data with replacement), then combined


## Random forests :

- Training repeated on random bootstrap (or subsets) of the training data only
- Consider at each node only a random subsets of variables for the split


## Pruning:

- Grow tree to the end and "cut back", nodes that seem statistically dominated


## Decision tree : example in HEP

Examples in CMS: $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{WW}, \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{bb}$ analyses


## The package TMVA

- Package widely used in HEP
- Root-based implementation (included in every recent ROOT release)

TMVA functionalities :

- Allows to check input variables, correlations, overtraining, performance
- Many multivariate methods available : rectangular cuts, likelihood, various decision trees, SVM...
- Classification and regression
- Tuning of parameters relatively easy
- Training is user-friendly and fast enough to be manageable on a laptop
- Application is less user friendly : basically have to do it by hand in ROOT


## Available classifiers

```
// --- Cut optimisation
Use["Cuts"] = 1;
Use["cutsD"] 
Use["CutsPCA"] = 0;
Use["CutsGA"]
Use["CutsSA"]
= 0;
//
// --- 1-dimensional likelihood ("naive Bayes estimator")
Use["Likelihood"] = 0;
Use["LikelihoodD"] = 0; // the "D" extension indicates decorrelated input variables (see option strings)
Use["LikelihoodPCA"] = 0; // the "PCA" extension indicates PCA-transformed input variables (see option strings)
Use["LikelihoodKDE"]
Use["LikelihoodMIX"]
//
// _-- Mutidimensional likelihood and Nearest-Neighbour methods
Use["PDERS"]
    = 0;
Use["PDERSD"]
Use["PDERSPCA"]
Use["PDEFoam"]
Use["PDEFoamBoost"]
Use["KNN"]
//
// --- Linear Discriminant Analysis
// --- Linear Discriminant Analysis 
Use["LD"]
Use["Fisher"]
Use["FisherG"]
Use["BoostedFisher"] =
Use["BoostedFisher"] = 
Use["HMatrix"]
= 0;
//
// --- Function Discriminant analysis
Use["FDA_GA"] = 0; // minimisation of user-defined function using Genetics Algorithm
Use["FDA_SA"]
Use["FDA_MC"]
Use["FDA_MT"]
Use["FDA_GAMT"]
Use["FDA_GAMT"]
    = 0;
= 0;
//
// --- Neural Networks
Use["MLP"]
Use["MLPBFGS"]
Use["MLPBNN"]
Use["CFMlpANN"]
Use["CFMLpANN""]
Use["TMlpANN"]
//
/ --- Support Vector Machine
Use["SVM"]
//
// --- Boosted Decision Trees
Use["BDT"] = 0; // uses Adaptive Boost
Use["BDTG"] = 0; // uses Gradient Boost
Use["BDTB"]
Use["BDTD"]
//
// --- Friedman's RuleFit method, ie, an optimised series of cuts ("rules")

\section*{Functionalities : correlations}
- Linear correlations are easily investigated via the GUI :
- (Here, no correlation)

```

X2 versus X1 (Background)_Id

```


\section*{Functionalities: correlations}
- Linear correlations are easily investigated via the GUI :
- Signal and background input variables can be correlated differently

\section*{Correlation Matrix (signal)}


\section*{Correlation Matrix (background)}


\section*{Functionalities : performance}
- Many classifiers can be trained in one shot
- Useful for performance comparison


\section*{Advantages and drawbacks of different classifiers}

\section*{From TMVA manual}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Criteria} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{MVA METHOD} \\
\hline & & Cuts & Likelihood & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PDE- } \\
& \text { RS } \\
& \text { k-NN }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
PDE- \\
Foam
\end{tabular} & HMatrix & Fisher / LD & MLP & BDT & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rule- \\
Fit
\end{tabular} & SVM \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Performance} & No or linear correlations & \(\star\) & ** & * & \(\star\) & \(\star\) & ** & ** & \(\star\) & ** & \(\star\) \\
\hline & Nonlinear correlations & \(\bigcirc\) & - & ** & ** & \(\bigcirc\) & \(\bigcirc\) & ** & ** & ** & ** \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Speed} & Training & \(\bigcirc\) & ** & ** & ** & ** & ** & \(\star\) & \(\bigcirc\) & \(\star\) & \(\bigcirc\) \\
\hline & Response & ** & ** & \(\bigcirc\) & \(\star\) & ** & ** & ** & \(\star\) & ** & \(\star\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Robustness} & Overtraining & ** & \(\star\) & * & \(\star\) & ** & ** & * & \(\bigcirc\) & \(\star\) & ** \\
\hline & Weak variables & ** & \(\star\) & - & \(\bigcirc\) & ** & \(\star \star\) & \(\star\) & ** & * & \(\star\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Curse of dimensionality} & \(\bigcirc\) & ** & \(\bigcirc\) & \(\bigcirc\) & ** & ** & \(\star\) & * & \(\star\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Transparency} & ** & ** & \(\star\) & \(\star\) & ** & ** & \(\bigcirc\) & \(\bigcirc\) & \(\bigcirc\) & \(\bigcirc\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Exercises}
- Problem inspired by Higgs searches in H->2photons channel at LHC
- Goal : be able to estimate the sensitivity of a search for a small peak over a huge background, using multivariate methods

\section*{- 3 exercises :}
- Setting up Root and TMVA environment, TMVA basics
- Using a MVA method inside the analysis
- Estimation of analysis sensitivity```

